

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,312	10/826,312 04/19/2004		Paul B. Corkum	PAT 892-2 US	9811	
26123	7590	03/09/2006		EXAMINER		
	N LADNEI EXCHANG	R GERVAIS LLP	DUPUIS, DEREK L			
		F SUITE 1100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	A, ON KII	P 1J9	2883			
CANADA	4			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			57
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>U'</i>
	10/826,312	CORKUM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Derek L. Dupuis	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a	ICATION. a reply be timely filed	
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ite, cause the application to become	ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	b by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	?1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	nts have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	nts have been received in	Application No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri</li></ol>	iority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(8) 5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/826,312

Art Unit: 2883

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I(a). Claims 27 and 28, drawn to an optical circuit including an optical connector, classified in class 385, subclass 14.
  - I(b). Claims 1-15, drawn to an optical connector, classified in class 385, subclass 31.
  - II. Claims 16-22, drawn to a method of manufacturing an optical coupler, classified in class 65, subclass 385.
  - III. Claims 23-26, drawn to an apparatus used to manufacture an optical coupler, classified in class 65, subclass 489.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I(a) and I(b) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not include the limitations of a three-dimensional optically-transmissive bulk dielectric for abutment with an input connection face of an input optical component or a connection path written within the bulk dielectric for connecting the input face to an output face. These limitations are key features recited by the broadest claim of the subcombination and are not present in the broadest claim of the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used to connect one input to one output.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,312

Art Unit: 2883

3. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method of Invention II could be used to make a connector where the input optical component is coupled to the three-dimensional optically-transmissive bulk dielectric via focusing, rather than by abutment as claimed in the product of Invention I.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions III and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product of Invention I can be made using a different apparatus such as by using an etching tool to write the connection path rather than by using a laser system as claimed in Invention III.
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process of Invention II can be practiced using a different apparatus such as by using an etching tool to write the connection path rather than by using a laser system as claimed in Invention III.

Art Unit: 2883

- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicant elect Invention Ib, the following election of species requirement would apply.

9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

<u>Species A</u> is drawn to a straight through connection path as claimed in claims 1-7, 14, and 15.

<u>Species B</u> is drawn to a bent connection path as claimed in claims 1-6 and 8-15.

The species are independent or distinct because Species A includes a straight path while Species B includes a bent path.

Should applicant elect Species B, the following sub-species would apply.

<u>Sub-Species X</u> is drawn to a bent connection path where two paths are connected by a TIR connection as shown in figure 8 and as claimed in claims 1-6, 8-12, 14, and 15.

<u>Sub-Species Y</u> is drawn to a bent connection path where two paths are connected by a photonic crystal structure as shown in figure 9 and as claimed in claims 1-6, 8-10, and 13-15.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,312

Art Unit: 2883

The sub-species are independent or distinct because Species X includes a TIR connection while Species Y includes a photonic crystal interconnection.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-6, 14, and 15 are generic for both Species A and Species B of Invention Ib. Claims 8-10 are generic for only sub-species X and sub-species Y of Species B of Invention Ib.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

10. A telephone call was made to L. Anne Kinsman (Reg. No. 45,291) on 2/28/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Page 6

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

In conclusion, applicant must elect one of either Invention I(a), Invention I(b), Invention II, or Invention III.

Should applicant elect Invention I(b), applicant must then further elect either Species A or Species B.

Should applicant elect Species B, applicant must then further elect either sub-species X or sub-species Y.

Art Unit: 2883

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek L. Dupuis whose telephone number is (571) 272-3101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Derek L. Dupuis

Group Art Unit 2883

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800